

APPENDICES

APPENDICES (SECTION A)

Appendix – 2.1.1

(Referred to paragraph 2.1.2.2 (ii) (b); page 6)

Incomplete bridge works due to non-acquisition of required land

Sl. No.	Name of work	Name of the Districts	Date of completion /Status	Estimated cost (in crore)	Total expenditure (₹ in crore)	Type of land required /area in decimal	Method of acquisition	Amount released (₹ in lakhs)	Remarks
1	Construction of High Level Bridge RCC Girder Bridge Across Damodar river on Chandankiyari-Suyiadih road in block of Dhanbad district	Dhanbad	23-11-2018/incomplete	7.44	3.82	Private/forest land/NA	N/A	Nil	Incomplete bridge
2	Construction of High Level Bridge across Bardubi to Lakharkhawari Village at Karambund under Dhanbad Block.	Dhanbad	30-06-2018/incomplete	2.04	1.64	Private land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
3	Construction of High Level Bridge RCC Bridge over Kharkai River between Asangi to Itagarh in Saraikela Kharsawan District under Mukhya Mantri Gram Setu Yojana	Saraikella-Kharsawan	14-06-2015/incomplete	6.2	5.91	Private land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
4	Construction of Bridge over Kharkai River between Hurangda and Dharamdiha in Saraikela Block Dist Saraikela Kharsawan	Saraikella-Kharsawan	28-02-2019/incomplete	4.36	2.49	Raiyati land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
5	Construction of High level Bridge across Kana River between village Ramchandrapur and Taranagar under Pakur Block in Dist Pakur	Pakur	28-02-2019/incomplete	4.66	1.50	Raiyati land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed

6	Construction of bridge over Kesho river near Kundidhanwar to Nawalsahi via Bachhedih under Domchanch block	Koderma	09-11-2019/incomplete	3.97	2.96	Raiyati land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
7	Construction of HL Bridge RCC Bridge on Tahale river at Wigoo ghati Harinanmad of Chainpur Block	Palamu	09-09-2018/Incomplete	3.65	3.21	Raiyati land/10	Not paid	Nil	On the direction of High court ₹ 1.42 lakh compensation calculated
8	Construction of HL Bridge RCC Bridge on Koel river on Kechki Awsane Road in Chainpur Block	Palamu	08-03-2021/Incomplete	8.85	2.32	Forest land/32	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
9	Construction of Bridge across river Sonere between village Dandar-Kala (back of college) and Yadav Tola under Panki Block	Palamu	17-03-2019/incomplete	3.74	1.42	Raiyati land/NA	N/A	Nil	Approach road not constructed
			Total	44.91	25.27				

Appendix- 2.1.2

(Referred to paragraph 2.1.2.2 (ii) (c); page 7)

Construction of new MMGSY bridges despite existence of MMGSY/PMGSY/RCD bridges within a distance of one KM

Sl. No.	Name of MMGSY bridge	MMGSY							Other bridges			
		District	Block	River	Cost of bridge ₹ in crore	Taken up	Expenditure incurred ₹ in crore	Status of bridge (Bridge part)	Pre-existence of bridge	Distance (in m)	Cost	Status of bridge
1	Construction of bridge over river between Shri Raghunath Singh House and Shri Rampukar Ray house near Durga Mandir, Matkuria under Dhanbad Block, Dhanbad District	Dhanbad	Municipal	Nala	1.13	July 2018	1.03	Complete	RCD	100	NA	Complete
2	Construction of Bridge over River at Dhanuwadih near Durgapur Kust colony in	Dhanbad	Municipal		1.92	February 2017	1.9	Complete	RCD	180	NA	Complete
3	Construction of Bridge over Kesho river in between Tetran (Jainagar) and Kushana under Markacho block	Koderma	Marchcho	Kesho	4.83	October 2014	5.16	Complete	MMGSY at Dashro	500	NA	Complete
									MMGSY at Bellari	1000	NA	Complete
4	Construction of High Level Bridge across Gauri River between Gram Chotki Dhamri to Gram Balkhalara Panchyat Kanko under Chandwara Block	Koderma	Chandwara	Gauri	3.52	February 2017	3.01	Complete	RCD	600	NA	Complete
5	Construction of High level Bridge across Kana River between village Ramchandrapur and Taranagar under Pakur Block in Dist Pakur	Pakur	Pakur	Kana	4.66	May 2018	1.91	Incomplete	PMGSY	600	NA	Complete

6	Construction of HL bridge over Harmu River between Vidya Nagar Mahavir Nagar Laned Road NO. 2 & Harmu colony	Ranchi	Municipal	Harmu	2.42	September 2013	1.96	Complete	RCD	180	NA	Complete
	Total				18.48		14.97					

**Appendix – 2.1.3
(Referred to paragraph 2.1.2.2-(ii)(d); page 8)**

Construction of MMGSY bridges in municipal area

Sl. No.	Name of work	Name of the Districts	Estimated cost (₹ in crore)	Status
1	Construction of bridge over river between Shri Raghunath Singh House and Shri Rampukar Ray house near Durga Mandir, Matkuria under Dhanbad Block, Dhanbad District	Dhanbad	1.13	Complete
2	Construction of Bridge over River at Dhanuwadih near Durgapur Kust colony	Dhanbad	1.88	Complete
3	Construction Bridge over Jorya in Bhuli block	Dhanbad	1.19	Complete
4	construction of Bridge over Jorya in Ward 41 near Lal Bangla, Shamashan Ghat	Dhanbad	1.93	Complete
5	Construction of HL bridge over Kharkai at Majnaghat	Saraikella-Kharsawan	4.47	Complete
6	Construction of HL bridge over Harmu River between Vidya Naar Mahavir Nagar Laned Road NO. 2 & Harmu colony	Ranchi	2.75	Complete
	Total		13.35	

Appendix – 2.1.4
(Referred to paragraph 2.1.4; page 32)

Physical damages to bridges noticed in test checked divisions in the absence of post execution maintenance

Sl No.	Name of works	District	Date of completion	Date of physical verification	Observation of joint physical verification team
1	Construction of HL bridge on Kansh river on Sisai in Chhrda road	Gumla	31 March 2016	19 November 2019	Superstructure: Due to improper functioning of bearing apparent jerk was noticed on deck slab of bridge, wearing coat damaged and reinforcement of wearing coat was found open, cracks in railing, approach slab damaged,
2	Construction of HL bridge over in Mathani-Jalka under Sisai Block, Gumla district (Kans) and (Adiya)	Gumla	14 October 2018	19 November 2019	Approach road Part: Cracks were noticed in bituminous surface of approach road and bituminous surface was found subsided.
3	Construction of HL bridge over South Koel River on Sahijana to Gagghara, Sisai – Block, Dist Gumla.	Gumla	27 January 2018	19 November 2019	No comments
4	Construction of HL bridge over Koyal river between Jolo & Murkunda Road in Basia block of Gumla District	Gumla	Physically complete (traffic running)	19 November 2019	Sand excavation: Sand excavation near bridge site may ultimately cause scouring in bridge foundation Approach road Part: Damaged, flank of approach road washed away
5	Construction of HL bridge over Karo River at Domuhana between Chharda Road and Karkari Road under Sisai Block, Gumla	Gumla	Physically complete (traffic running)	19 November 2019	Approach road Part: Damaged in one side and WBM surface found open
6	Construction of HL bridge over Bansloi river near Garhwari under Maheshpur block, Pakur district	Pakur	4 October 2017	23 January 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of four piers were visible, sand excavation was noticed around bridge site
7	Construction of HL Bridge over Basloi River between Chandal Mara Ghat Chhora in Pakur district	Pakur	15 June 2015	23 January 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of six piers were visible, guard wall was found covered with soil (due to sliding)

8	Construction of HL bridge across Suvarnrekha river in Khokro-Karkidih road in Ichagarh block of Saraikela district	Saraikela	17 August 2016	07 January 2020	Superstructure: Expansion joint was missing, cracks in railing, three footpath slabs were found damaged, Approach road part: In grade III road, WBM surface washed away and only <i>mitti-murram</i> portion existed. At the start/end of bridge edge of approach road near approach slab was washed away
9	Construction of HL bridge over river between Shri Raghunath Singh House and Shri Rampukar Ray house near Durga Mandir, Matkuria under Dhanbad Block, Dhanbad District	Dhanbad	Physically complete (car parked over the bridge)	27 November 2019	Foundation: under the bridge, flow of river obstructed due to accumulation of garbage which may damage the bridge
10	Construction of HL bridge over River at Dhanuwadih near Durgapur Kust colony	Dhanbad	25 August 2018	27 November 2019	No comments
11	Construction bridge over Jorya in Bhuli block	Dhanbad	31 March 2018	04 December 2019	No comments
12	construction of bridge over Jorya in Ward 41 near Lal Bangla, Shamashan Ghat, Dhanbad	Dhanbad	31 March 2018	04 December 2019	No comments
13	Construction of Bridge over Kesho river in between Tetran (Jainagar) and Kushana under Markacho block	Koderma	26 February 2018	28 February 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of six piers were visible, sand excavation was noticed around bridge site
14	Construction of Bridge across Chotnar River at Ambabad Panchayat at PWD Road to Angarpath under Satgawan Block	Koderma	29 May 2017	25 February 2020	Approach road: WBM surface on both side damaged
15	Construction of high level RCC bridge over Sakri river in Basodeeh and Marchoi, Block- Satgawan	Koderma	20 July 2010	25 February 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of five piers were visible, Super structure: deck slab between P7-P8 and P8-P9 was inclined
16	Construction of High Level Bridge RCC Bridge over Sakri River in Ghorsimar and Modideeh path, Block: Satgawan	Koderma	28 October 2016	25 February 2020	Foundation: three span of bride was encroached by the villager hindering the river water flow Superstructure: expansion joint damaged, Approach road part: edge of approach road near approach slab washed away

17	Construction of Bridge across Chhatardhari River (Village Gurdi and Khajuri) at Chhatarpur Block	Palamu	14 July 2019	15 March 2020	Superstructure: Both side approach slab damaged, Approach road part: approach road damaged
18	Construction of bridge across Kharakpur dhab on Batane river, residual work under Hariharganj block	Palamu	10 August 2019	15 March 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of four piers were visible
19	Construction of High Level Bridge across Amanat River on road connecting Panki Main road to Saraiya-Majhigauwn to Jhalkhnadi in Block Panki	Palamu	Physically complete (traffic running)	15 March 2020	Foundation: Due to scouring in foundation, pile cap of two piers were visible, sand excavation was noticed around bridge site Superstructure: Half portion of expansion joint broken and missing, siltation in weep hole which would result in accumulation of water in bridge, Approach road part: edge of approach road on both side was washed away
20	Construction of Bridge over Malay river in Village Dulsuma on road connecting village Dulsuma (Chader No. 2) to Dulsuma (Chader No.) to Satbarba (Daltonganj) Ranchi Road in Satbarba Block	Palamu	28 January 2019	16 March 2020	Foundation: Encroachment under the bridge would result in obstruction of river water flow Superstructure: siltation in weep hole which would result in accumulation of water in bridge

Appendix-2.2.1

(Referred to in paragraph:2.2.3.1; page 40)

Statement showing details of transfer ₹ 15.84 crore into the accounts of accused persons

Paid to	Fraudulent payment detected by DLIC (₹in lakh)	Additional fraudulent payment detected by Audit (₹in lakh)	Total fraudulent payment	Number of bank accounts involved	Audit comments
Cashier and his relatives (eight individuals and one NGO- <i>CENWORD</i> run by the wife of the cashier)	228.95	60.17	289.12	14	Additional fraudulent payment (between October 2014 and May 2017) of ₹ 60.17 lakh ⁸¹ was made by the DWO to the cashier and his relatives. These were noticed during examination of RTGS ⁸² /NEFT ⁸³ payments, cheque clearance details and bank vouchers and the account numbers reflected in bank statements were found to be in the names of the cashier and his relatives.
	225.41	Nil	225.41	7 ⁸⁴	On being pointed out (May 2017) discrepancies in account number by the Bank Manager, though the fraudulent payments were credited back to the bank account of DWO, Chatra yet DWOs continued same practices which paved the way for further fraudulent payment of ₹ 6.01 crore as detailed in Para 2.2.3.2 of the report.
Two NGOs	253.04	172.04	425.08	5	Out of ₹ 1.72 crore, ₹ 1.37 crore was paid to one NGO (<i>PRAYAS</i>) to whom ₹ 2.53 crore was paid earlier as detected by DLIC. Besides ₹ 34.70 lakh was paid to another NGO (<i>SUPPORT</i>). Of ₹ 57.59 lakh, ₹ 16.25 lakh was paid to two individuals (not in the DLIC report) and remaining ₹ 41.34 lakh to one individual who was also detected by DLIC. These payments were detected through examination of RTGS/NEFT payments, cheque clearance details, bank vouchers etc.
Three individuals	98.34	57.59	155.93	8	
Two suppliers	40.00	Nil	40.00	2	
Three Non-existent Institutes	88.20	163.71	251.91	2 ⁸⁵	DLIC detected two fake institutions to which ₹ 88.20 lakh was paid through bank transfers. However, audit observed that the DWO also paid ₹ 83.64 lakh to 346 students of an institute which also did not exist. In addition, the DWO paid ₹ 80.07 lakh to 234 students of the two non-existent institutes already detected by the DLIC.
Teachers of residential schools (6 Nos)	Nil	183.76	183.76	9	The DWO transferred (April 2013 to February 2018) ₹ 1.84 crore into the personal accounts of six teachers but the purpose of payments were not explained to Audit though called for in January 2019. Similarly, ₹ 12.32 lakh was paid (December 2015) to an Executive Magistrate through a bearer cheque but the purpose of payment was not furnished to Audit though called for in January 2019.
Executive Magistrate (1 No)	0	12.32	12.32	0	
Total (26)	933.94	649.59	1583.53	47	

⁸¹ Out of ₹ 60.17 lakh, ₹ 57.52 lakh was related to the period 2016-18 (i.e. scope of DLIC) and remaining amount of ₹ 2.65 lakh was prior to 2016-18.

⁸² Real Time Gross Settlement.

⁸³ National Electronic Fund Transfer.

⁸⁴ ₹ 2.25 crore was transferred to 11 accounts out of which four were common as mentioned above against 14 bank accounts against cashier and relatives.

⁸⁵ Accounts of Chatra Institute of technology and Ananya infotech in which ₹ 88.20 lakh was paid.

Appendix-2.2.2

(Referred to in paragraph:2.2.3.2; page 40)

Statement showing amount transferred from DWO, Chatra bank accounts into the accounts of accused between June 2017 and May 2018.

Sl. No.	Name of accused	Amount (in ₹)	Remarks
1	CENWORD	16557267	NGO
2	Ashish Kumar	5695000	Son-in-law of Cashier
3	Chanda Prasad	750820	Daughter of Cashier
4	Indradeo Prasad	1445993	Cashier
5	Prithvi Prasad	550253	Son of Cashier
6	Rupa Devi	1775000	Daughter of Cashier
7	Ananya Infotech	5400000	Non-existing institute
8	Chatra Institute of Technology	3420000	Non-existing institute
9	Mithilesh Mishra	9605000	Retired Block Welfare Officer
10	PRAYAS	7689393	NGO
11	Suran Agrawal	2200000	Individual
12	Virat Telecom	1800000	Supplier
13	Subhash Kumar	875000	Individual
14	Support	2281856	NGO
	Total	60045582	

Appendix-2.2.3*(Referred to in paragraph:2.2.3.3; page 41)*

Statement showing amounts withdrawn by accused from their bank accounts between June 2018 and January 2019.

Sl. No.	Name of Account Holders (S/Sri)	Name of Bank	Account Number	Amount withdrawn (in ₹)
Accounts for which DDC did not request banks to suspend the operation				
1	Sunita Devi	SBI, Jhumra	11767371529	6199
2	Mithilesh Mishra	Union Bank of India, Chatra	645602010001968	3000
	Sub total			9199
Accounts which operation was not suspended by banks despite request by DDC				
1	Ananya Infotech	UCO Bank, Barhi	27610210000342	1016500
2	Ashish Kumar	UCO Bank, Barhi	27610210000595	2946032
3	Chanda Prasad	ICICI Bank, Matwari, Hazaribag	246001000237	384800
4	Chanda Prasad	SBI, Jhumra	32623847113	194500
5	Indradeo Prasad	PNB, Chatra	6118001700000022	390000
6	Indradeo Prasad	Bank of Baroda, Chatra	29230100020105	4000
7	Prithvi Prasad	SBI, Daru	34361815400	10772
8	Rupa Devi	UCO Bank, Barhi	27610110002704	950000
	Sub total			5896604
	Grand total			5905803

Appendix-2.2.4*(Referred to in paragraph:2.2.3.4; page 41)*

Statement showing amount withdrawn by accused from their bank accounts during July 2019 to February 2020

Sl. No.	Name of account holder (S/Sri)	Name of Bank	Bank Accounts	Date of withdrawal	Amount withdrawn (in lakh)
1	Sunita Devi	PNB, Chatra	6118001700001687	30.12.2019	5.00
				27.01.2020	4.00
				10.02.2020	2.00
2	Tara Prasad	PNB, Chatra	6118000100018114	09.07.2019	16.08
				09.07.2019	16.54
				Total	43.62

Appendix-2.2.5

(Referred to in paragraph: 2.2.3.5; page 41)

Statement showing amount transferred into the bank accounts of the Cashier and his relatives by Firms, Institutes, NGOs etc. who got payments from DWO, Chatra.

Sl. No.	DWO bank account Number	Date of debit in DWO accounts	Cheque Number	Amount	Name of recipients of payment	Account number of recipients	Date of transfer	Cheque number	Amount transferred	Name of Transferee	Relation with cashier
1	SBI 11475683653	12-02-2016	916888	537407	PRAYAS	SBI 31021405403	19-09-2017	468883	750000	Tara Prasad	Daughter
2	SBI 11475683653	03-03-2016	916910	1001852	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	07-08-2017	468879	750000	Sunita Devi	Wife
3	SBI 11475683653	31-05-2017	490318	1538500	-Do-	Canara bank 1421101022721	23-02-2018	135976	200000	Chanda Prasad	Daughter
4	SBI 11475683653	03-06-2017	490314	1336526	-Do-	Canara bank 1421101019296	11-04-2018	135994	100000	-Do-	Daughter
5	SBI 11475683653	17-01-2018	645479	207100	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	02-01-2018	135951	300000	-Do-	Daughter
6	SBI 11475683653	17-01-2018	645480	389767	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	15-06-2017	468872	475000	-Do-	Daughter
7	SBI 11475683653	01-05-2018	490366	350000	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	13-06-2017	468871	600000	-Do-	Daughter
8	Jharkhand Gramin Bank (JGB) 302210100001145	15-09-2017	764144	1800000	-Do-	Canara bank 1421101019296	07-06-2017	296535	750000	-Do-	Daughter
9	SBI 11475685163	29-07-2017	807203	1806000	-Do-	Canara bank 1421101019296	19-09-2017	468882	750000	-Do-	Daughter
10	UBI 645020100000949	15-09-2017	2017921	1800000	-Do-	Canara bank 1421101019296	02-11-2017	468886	750000	-Do-	Daughter
11	SBI 11475685163	27-06-2017	807158	550795	Mithilesh Mishra	Bank of India 490010100015193	28-06-2017	58840	750000	Indradeo Prasad	Self
12	SBI 11475685163	11-07-2017	807174	560000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	09-08-2017	2017327	500000	Chanda Prasad	Daughter
13	SBI 11475685163	25-07-2017	807205	500000	-Do-	ICICI 3888401000332	05-06-2017	478	300000	Indradeo Prasad	Self
14	SBI 11475685163	26-07-2017	807204	500000	-Do-	United Bank of India 0294010095281	31-07-2017	874832	440000	-Do-	Self
15	SBI 11475685163	09-08-2017	807212	550000	-Do-	ICICI 3888401000332	09-08-2017	298	500000	Chanda Prasad	Daughter
16	SBI 11475685163	29-06-2017	807180	825000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	13-07-2017	2016120	500000	Indradeo Prasad	Self

Sl. No.	DWO bank account Number	Date of debit in DWO accounts	Cheque Number	Amount	Name of recipients of payment	Account number of recipients	Date of transfer	Cheque number	Amount transferred	Name of Transferee	Relation with cashier
17	SBI 11475685163	30-06-2017	807181	675000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	26-05-2017	91100167	1600470	-Do-	Self
18	SBI 11475685163	04-08-2017	807211	550000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	03-07-2017	2016104	575000	-Do-	Self
19	UBI 645020100000949	29-08-2017	2008137	880000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	03-07-2017	2016102	825000	-Do-	Self
20	JGB 302210100001145	23-05-2017	764138	325732	-Do-	Jharkhand Gramin Bank 300910100003499	31-05-2017	772777	330000	-Do-	Self
21	UBI 645020100000949	24-05-2017	2008141	906000	-Do-	Union Bank of India 6456020100001968	13-09-2017	2017340	442000	-Do-	Self
22	SBI 11475685163	10-07-2017	807179	850000	Suraj Agrawal	SBI 31787214235	13-07-2017	238549	800000	-Do-	Self
23	SBI 11475685163	10-07-2017	807187	670000	-Do-	SBI 31787214235	13-07-2017	238548	900000	-Do-	Self
24	SBI 11475685163	29-07-2017	807209	1800000	Ananya Infotech	UCO Bank 276102100000342	05-09-2017	701304	725000	-Do-	Self
25	JGB 302210100001145	31-08-2017	764141	1800000	-Do-	UCO Bank 276102100000342	31-07-2017	701303	1530000	-Do-	Self
26	UBI 645020100000949	04-09-2017	2008134	1800000	-Do-	UCO Bank 276102100000342	03-01-2018	701313	500000	Ashish Kumar	Son-in- law
27	UBI 645020100000949	15-09-2017	2017924	1365000	Chatra Institute of Technology	Bank of India 481420110000073	19-01-2018	86131	250000	Indradeo Prasad	Self
28	JGB 302210100001145	15-09-2017	764145	1265000	-Do-	Bank of India 481420110000073	27-09-2017	86120	1000000	Suraj Prasad	Son
29	JGB 302210100001145	15-09-2017	764145	1265000	-Do-	Bank of India 481420110000073	15-09-2017	RTGS	750000	Prithvi Prasad	Son
30	SBI 11475683653	26-05-2017	233968	750000	Babulal	BOI, Branch Code: 5965, Hazaribag	20-06-2017	9953	725000	-Do-	Son
31	SBI 11475685163	27-06-2017	807161	548900	Mithilesh Mishra	BOI Mithilesh Mishra	28-06-2017	58843	700000	Indradeo Prasad	Self

Sl. No.	DWO bank account Number	Date of debit in DWO accounts	Cheque Number	Amount	Name of recipients of payment	Account number of recipients	Date of transfer	Cheque number	Amount transferred	Name of Transferee	Relation with cashier
32	SBI 11475685163	09-08-2017	807218	575000	-Do-	Cleared by BOI, Chatra	17-08-2017	58849	92000	Tara Prasad	Daughter
33	SBI 11475685163	09-08-2017	807218	575000	-Do-	Cleared by BOI, Chatra	20-05-2017	58828	250000	Indradeo Prasad	Self
34	SBI 11475683653	19-07-2017	233990	500000	-Do-	ICICI Account no. 3888401000332	27-07-2017	296	460000	Indradeo Prasad	Self
35	SBI 11475683653	08-03-2017	234109	250000	-Do-	SBI Account number 11475787368	08-03-2017	607200	250000	A/c 11475767352	Relative
36	SBI 11475683653	09-11-2015	916860	230265	PRAYAS	Canara Bank, Hazaribag 1421101022721	13-06-2017	468910	750000	P. Prasad	Son
37	SBI 11475683653	30-09-2015	916843	369735	-Do-	Canara Bank, Hazaribag	19-06-2017	468911	675000	P. Prasad	Son
38	SBI 11475683653	31-03-2014	149646	257000	-Do-	Canara Bank, Hazaribag	18-01-17	888773	115000	Sunita Devi	Wife
39	SBI 11475683653	10-05-2013	149943	746084	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	03-01-2017	348642	200000	-Do-	Wife
40	SBI 11475683653	26-03-2015	786902	1727736	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	09-06-2017	296534	950000	-Do-	Wife
41	SBI 11475683653	26-03-2015	786903	799818	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	07-08-2017	296572	750000	Tara Prasad	Daughter
42	SBI 11475683653	26-03-2015	786904	520400	-Do-	SBI 31021405403	27-02-2018	135978	200000	Chanda Prasad	Daughter
43	SBI 11475685163	23-08-2017	807229	875000	Subash Kumar	SBI 30182298899	24-08-2017	359605	625059	-Do-	Daughter
44	SBI 11475683653	28-03-2018	645493	2200000	Support, Hazaribag	United bank, DVC, Hazaribag	03-04-2018	481509	100000	-Do-	Daughter
								Total	25484529		

APPENDICES (SECTION C)

Appendix- 1.1.1

(Referred to in Paragraph 1.2.8; page 111)

Summarised financial results of Power Sector PSUs for the latest year for which accounts were finalised

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Activity & Name of the Power Sector Undertaking	Period of accounts	Net profit/ loss before interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after interest & tax	Turnover	Paid up capital	Capital Employed	Net Worth[1]	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
A.	Generation								
1	Tenughat Vidyut Nigam Limited	2014-15	194.8	92.57	692.24	105	-65.13	-1013.63	-1118.63
2	Jharkhand Urja Utpadan Nigam Limited	2016-17	-1.28	-1.28	12.44	40.03	20.37	20.40	-19.63
	Sub-total		193.52	91.29	704.68	145.03	-44.76	-993.23	-1138.26
B.	Transmission								
3	Jharkhand Urja Sancharan Nigam Limited	2017-18	24.67	-358.27	218.65	972.96	4010.36	396.98	-575.98
	Sub-total			24.67	-358.27	218.65	972.96	4010.36	396.98
C.	Others								
4	Karanpura Energy Limited	2017-18	0	-3.58	0	0.05	27.72	-19.47	-1.30
5	Jharbihar Colliery Limited	2016-17	-3.43	-3.43	0	1	1.02	-2.89	-1.30
6	Patratu Energy Limited	2017-18	-0.01	-0.55	0	0.05	23.79	-7.47	-7.52
7	Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited	2013-14	-0.29	-0.29	0	8.40	4135.61	6.10	-2.30
8	Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited	2017-18	-167.38	-212.17	3199.39	3108.93	13061.832	-1918.33	-4036.15
	Sub-total		-171.11	-220.02	3199.39	3118.43	17249.97	-1942.06	-4048.57
	Grand Total		47.08	-487	4122.72	4236.42	21215.57	-2538.31	-5762.81

[1] Net worth is the sum total of the paid-up capital and free reserves and surplus minus accumulated losses and deferred revenue expenditure.

Appendix-1.1.2

(Referred to in Paragraph 1.3.4: page 120)

Statement showing position of equity and outstanding loans relating to State PSUs (Non Power Sector) as on 31 March 2019

Statement showing position of equity and outstanding loans relating to State PSUs as on 31 March 2019											
Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity[1] at close of the year 2018-19				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2018-19			
				GoJ[2]	GoI[3]	Others	Total	GoJ	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
A.	Social Sector										
	I. Working Government Companies										
1	Jharkhand Hill Area Lift Irrigation Corporation Limited	Water Resources	22.03.2002	5.00			5.00	5.25			5.25
2	Jharkhand State Minority Finance Development Corporation	Scheduled Tribe, Schedule Caste, Minority and Backward Class Welfare	22.03.2002	1.01			1.01	0.00			0.00
3	Jharkhand State Forest Development Corporation Ltd.(JSFDC)	Forest, Environment & Climate Change	27.03.2002	0.55			0.55				0.00
4	Jharkhand State Mineral Development Corporation Ltd. (JSMDC)	Mines & Geology	07.05.2002	2.00			2.00				0.00
5	Jharkhand State Beverage Corporation Ltd. (JSBCL)	Excise	26.11.2010	2.00			2.00				0.00
6	Jharkhand State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd.	Food, Public Distribution & Consumer Affairs	18.06.2010	5.00			5.00	43.96			43.96
7	Jharkhand Medical & Health Infrastructure Development & Procurement Corporation Limited	Health, Medical Education & Family Welfare	24.05.2013	5.00			5.00				0.00

1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
8	Jharkhand Rail Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.	Industries	06.07.2018	4.08		3.92	8.00				0.00
9	Jharkhand State Agriculture Development Corporation Limited	Agriculture & Farmers' Empowerment	20.01.2016	2.00			2.00				0.00
	Total A-I			26.64	0.00	3.92	30.56	49.21	0.00	0.00	49.21
	II. Non-working Government Companies										
	Total A-II			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total A (I+II)			26.64	0.00	3.92	30.56	49.21	0.00	0.00	49.21
B.	Competitive Sector										
	I. Working Government Companies										
10	Jharkhand Communication Network Ltd.	Information Technology & e-Governance	28.01.2017	0.00			0.00				0.00
11	Jharkhand Film Development Corp. Ltd.	Information Technology & e-Governance	07.09.2016	0.00			0.00				0.00
12	Jharkhand Urban Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.	Urban Development & Housing	19.11.2013	45.00			45.00				0.00
13	Adityapur Electronic Manufacturing Cluster Limited	Industries	17.12.2016			27.83	27.83				
14	Jharkhand State Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited	Industries	15.12.2004	15.00			15.00				0.00
15	Jharkhand State Building Construction Corporation Limited	Urban Development & Housing	05.12.2015	2.00			2.00				0.00
16	Greater Ranchi Development Agency	Urban Development & Housing	10.01.2003	164.14			164.14				0.00
17	Atal Bihari Vajpayee Innovation Lab.	Industries	26.12.2018	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Jharkhand Plastic Park Limited	Industries	27.09.2016	0.00		0.01	0.01			0.18	0.18
19	Jharkhand Urban Transport Corporation Limited	Urban Development & Housing	20.09.2016	45.00			45.00				0.00

1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
20	Jharkhand Silk Textile & Handicraft Development Corporation Ltd.	Industries	23.08.2006	10.00			10.00				0.00
21	Jharkhand Tourism Development Corporation Limited	Tourism, Arts, Culture, Sports & Youth Affairs	22.03.2002	9.50			9.50				0.00
	Total B-I			290.64	0.00	27.84	318.48	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18
II. Non-working Government Companies											
	Total B-II			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total B (I+II)			290.64	0.00	27.84	318.48	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18
C.	Other Sector										
I. Working Government Companies											
22	Ranchi Smart City Corporation Ltd.	Transport	30.09.2016	13.00			13.00				
23	Jharkhand Police Housing Corporation Ltd.(JPHCL)	Home, Jail & Disaster Management	13.03.2002	2.00			2.00				0.00
	Total C-I			15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total C-II			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total C (I+II)			15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
				332.28	0.00	31.76	364.04	49.21	0.00	0.18	49.39

Appendix-2.1.1
(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.2: page 134)

Details showing list of assets constructed after introduction of JTP and transferred to JTDC

Sl. No.	Name of Assets	District	Year of construction	Transfer circular No. & date	Mode of management
1	20 Rooms Tourist Complex, Netarhat	Latehar	2016	123/07.08.2017	Self-managed
2	Sports Complex, Maithan	Dhanbad	NA	115/25.07.2017	Idle
3	Tourist Complex (1 & 2), Ajay Baraj Sikatia	Deoghar	2017	96/04.07.2017	Idle
4	Wayside Amenity, Between Chandil and Kali Mandir at NH 33 (Near Dalma Wild-life sanctuary)	Sariakela-Kharsawan	2017	82/08.06.2017	Idle
5	Wayside Amenity, Hamsada	Sariakela-Kharsawan	2017	82/08.06.2017	Idle
6	Wayside Amenity, Dhalbhumgarh, Baharogoda	East Singhbhum	2017	82/08.06.2017	Idle
7	Community Centre Building, Tourist Convenience Complex, Kitchen Shed, Dhorimata Marium, Phusro	Bokaro	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
8	Multipurpose Bhawan, Yogini Asthan	Godda	2016	68/28.10.2015	Idle
9	Multipurpose Bhawan, Shivpur Ratneshwar Dham	Godda	2016	68/28.10.2015	Idle
10	Multipurpose Bhawan, Dhamsay Temple	Godda	2016	68/28.10.2015	Idle
11	Multipurpose Bhawan, Bhudhai Temple, Madhupur	Deoghar	2016	68/28.10.2015	Idle
12	Multipurpose Bhawan, Pathrool Temple, Madhupur	Deoghar	2016	68/28.10.2015	Idle
13	Multipurpose Bhawan, Harihar Dham	Giridih	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
14	Multipurpose Bhawan cum Guest House, Punasi	Deoghar	2018	100/29.10.2018	Idle
15	Vivah Mandap cum Tourist Lodge, Chowgana Dham, Chattarpur	Palamu	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
16	Restaurant cum Hall, Palkot	Gumla	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
17	Tourist Information Centre, Rajmahal	Sahibganj	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
18	Light & Sound Show, Kanke	Ranchi	2015	68/28.10.2015	Self-managed
19	Children Play Zone cum Kiosk, Near Sitarampur Dam	Sariakela-Kharsawan	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
20	Children Park, Kudarsai	Sariakela-Kharsawan	2015	68/28.10.2015	Idle
21	Children Park, Sidhgora	East Singhbhum	NA	68/28.10.2015	Idle
22	Tourist Convenience Complex, Near Tirkut Pahar	Deoghar	2016	13/21.01.2017	Self-managed

Appendix-2.1.2
(Reference: Paragraph 2.1.3.2 at page 138)
Details of Non-operational assets

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of the Assets	Year of construction/ construction cost	Date of transfer to JTDC	Audit observations	Remarks (JTDC replied in June 2020)
1	Tourist Complex, Ajay Baraj Sikatia, Sarath, Deoghar (phase 1) & (phase 2)	2017/ ₹296.00 lakh	04.07.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two TCs were constructed at the same place without conducting any tourist inflow study. This place is notified as of local importance by the State Government. • During physical verification, Audit observed that the location of the TCs was remote and sparsely populated. • Despite tendering both the assets remained non-operational and the expenditure of ₹ 2.96 crore on these TCs has become unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that properties had been outsourced (December 2019) and execution of agreement is under process. JTDC was silent on construction of two assets at same place. • The fact remains that the property remained non-operational since its transfer.
2	Tourist Complex, Hundru, Ranchi	2012/ ₹10.00 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgradation work of the asset was not done by the developer and hence the agreement was terminated (November 2018). The asset was re-tendered (February 2019), but no developer qualified. • TC is located in a sparsely populated jungle and the only attraction is a waterfall. Thus, tourists were unlikely to be interested in overnight stay. As a result, no tourist stayed in the asset as of December 2019 and it remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 10 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that the property had been outsourced (LOA issued in December 2019) and execution of agreement was under process. • The fact remains that the property is idle.
3	Tourist Complex, Maithan, Dhanbad	NA/NA	25.07.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was floated (August 2019) by JTDC. • LOA was issued (December 2019) but agreement was not executed (May 2020) and the asset remained non-operational 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that the asset had been outsourced and execution of agreement is under process. • The fact remains that this asset was idle as of May 2020.
4	Tourist Complex, Hesadih West Singhbhum	2015/ ₹148.48 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was floated (March 2016) and LOA was issued (June 2016) but the bidder did not turn up even after reminders (August 2016 and August 2017). • The asset remained non-operational as of May 2020 resulting in idle expenditure of ₹ 148.48 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that TC is located in a remote, sparsely populated area and had requested the Department for transfer of this asset to DTPC.

5	Tourist Complex, Ramrekha Dham, Simdega	2009/ ₹22.00 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Though tenders were issued (March 2016 and December 2016), no bidder turned up. • TEC decided on retendering but no tender was floated thereafter and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 22 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fact remains that this asset was idle as of May 2020.
6	Tourist Complex, Godarmana, Garhwa	2004/ ₹59.00 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender invited in March 2016 -only one bidder turned up and TEC decided to re-tender. Re-tender in December 2016 - no bidder turned up. • No tender was floated thereafter. The asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 59 lakh. 	
7	Tourist Complex, Baridih, Jamshedpur	2010 ₹30.55 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender invited in March 2016 - only one bidder technically qualified. Re-tendered in December 2016, but agreement was not executed with the successful developer for which no reasons was available on records. • JTDC informed that this asset was lying idle. Audit found during joint physical verification (January 2020) that the TC was used for marriage functions without the knowledge of the JTDC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department stated (July 2020) that JTDC had not taken charge of the property and district administration has been requested to intimate the condition, running status of the asset and revenue collected. • The fact remains that the assets could not be made operational by JTDC.
8	Hotel Aaranya Vihar, Hazaribag	NA/NA	21.06.2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreement terminated (January 2017) due to non-payment of license fee. • To outsource the asset tender was invited (December 2018)-no bidder turned up. Re-tendered (February 2019)-Bidder was selected in June 2019. • Asset though outsourced but in joint physical verification (January 2020) the asset was found non-operational and was in poor condition. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that the asset had been outsourced and handed over (January 2020). The assets is being upgraded by the developer now. • The fact remains that the property was non-operational as of May 2020.
9	Hotel Sheetal Vihar, Barhi, Hazaribag	NA/NA	21.06.2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taken over (February 2015) by JTDC as developer refused to run it further. JTDC invited tenders (December 2016 and December 2017) but no bidder turned up. • In the tender of December 2018, bidder was selected (February 2019) and was outsourced (August 2019) but found non-operational during joint physical verification (January 2020). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC replied (June 2020) that the asset had been outsourced and handed over (December 2019). The asset is being upgraded by the developer now. • The fact remains that the property was non-operational as of May 2020.
10	Wayside Amenity, Chandil, Saraikela-Kharsawan	2017/ ₹124.40 lakh	08.06.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender invited in December 2018 and February 2019 - No bid received. • The asset has remained non-operational and expenditure of ₹ 124.40 lakh has become unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No reply was given by the Department (July 2020)/ JTDC (June 2020). • The fact remains that these assets were non-operational since their transfer to JTDC.

11	Wayside Amenity, Hamsada, Saraikela-Kharsawan	2017/ ₹206.67 lakh	08.06.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was invited in December 2018 - No bid received. Tender was again invited in February 2019 - Single bidding and TEC decided to re-tender. • No tender was floated thereafter. The asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 206.67 lakh. 	
12	Wayside Amenity, Maheshpur, Pakur	2015/ ₹120.00 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was invited in March 2016 -Single bid was received. Tender was invited again in December 2016 - two bids were received and both were technically disqualified. TEC decided to re-tender. No tender to outsource the asset was found floated thereafter. • JTDC had requested (June 2019) the Department to transfer the asset to DTPC. Action of Department is awaited. The asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹120 lakh. 	
13	Wayside Amenity, Majha Toli, Gumla	2015/ ₹172.93 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was invited in March 2016 - only one bidder technically qualified. Tender was invited again in December 2016 but agreement was not executed with the successful developer for reasons not available on records. • No action was taken by the Department on the request (June 2019) of JTDC to transfer the asset to DTPC. The asset remained non-operational as of May 2020 resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹172.93 lakh. 	
14	Wayside Amenities , Tamar, Ranchi	2011 ₹36.95 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asset outsourced (July 2015) but the developer refused (July 2015) to develop it due to lack of electricity and water facility. The agreement was terminated (August 2017) by JTDC. • JTDC invited tender (December 2018) and executed (July 2019) an agreement with the developer and is pursuing him to submit the PIP for development of the asset. • The asset remained non-operational even after lapse of eight years since its transfer and the expenditure of ₹ 36.95 lakh has become unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/ JTDC stated (June 2020) that the asset could not be made operational as the licensee has not submitted the PIP. • The fact remained that the property remained non-operational as of May 2020.

15	Wayside Amenity, Dhalbhumgarh, East Singhbhum	2017/ ₹235.26 lakh	08.06.2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tenders was invited December 2018 and February 2019 – No bids were received. • During joint physical verification (January 2020), Audit observed that there was no electricity supply, boundary wall and approach road which could have contributed to lack of interest among the developers though the building was in good condition. Government/JTDC signboard/logo was not put up. • The asset remained non-operational as of May 2020 and expenditure of ₹ 235.26 lakh has become unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/ JTDC stated (June 2020) that the asset had been outsourced (December 2019) on 'as is where is' basis, hence lessee had to upgrade the asset. • The fact remains that the asset has been non-operational since its transfer to JTDC.
16	Wayside Amenity Kandra, Saraikela-Kharsawan	2011/ ₹100.00 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The asset was outsourced (August 2013) but due to lack of electricity, water and approach road the developer refused (July 2015) to develop the property. • The agreement was terminated (June 2017) and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 100 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) that developer refused to run the asset due to lack of connectivity and encroachment at site and stated that JTDC is running the property. • JTDC reply of running the asset in self-managed mode from July 2019 is not correct as there was no tourist inflow and income generation as of July 2020.
17	Multipurpose Bhavan, Pathrol Temple, Deoghar	2016/ ₹52.70 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For both the assets, tender was invited in March 2016 -Single bid received. Again tender was invited (December 2016) – Bids received were technically disqualified. TEC again decided to re-tender - No subsequent tender was floated to outsource the asset. 	The Department/JTDC did not furnish specific reply, and stated that the property is located in a remote place where only occasional tourist is expected and the Department had been requested to transfer the property to District Tourism Promotion Committee.
18	Vivah Mandap cum Tourist Lodge, Chhatarpur, Palamu	2015/ ₹39.04 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per records of JTDC, the assets are non-operational. However, during joint physical verification (March 2020), Audit observed that the asset was being operated by village committee without the knowledge of JTDC/Department. JTDC/Government signboard/logo was not put up. • JTDC failed to make the asset operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure on these assets. 	

19	Multipurpose Bhavan cum Guest house, Punasi, Deoghar	2018/ ₹189.92 lakh	29.10.2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially the site was earmarked near the Punasi Dam but due to local protests, the MPB was constructed at another site. During joint physical verification (December 2019), Audit observed that the MPB was located at a remote place from where the dam could not be viewed and there was also no public transport facility to reach the dam. There was no electricity in the building or in the nearby area. No advertisement of any sort regarding the MPB was put up near the Punasi Dam. The place was also not notified as a tourist by the State Government. Scrutiny of records of JTDC revealed that JTDC did not invite tender for outsourcing even after a lapse of more than one year and the property remained idle as of May 2020. Thus, expenditure of ₹ 189.92 lakh on the asset had become unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) the fact and stated that the property had much potential and it would be outsourced very soon. The fact remains that the property could not be made operational by JTDC since its transfer.
20	Multipurpose Bhawan, Yogini Asthan, Godda	2016/ ₹46.08 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For both the assets, JTDC invited tender in March 2016 - Single proposal received. Again tender was invited in December 2016 - Agreement with the successful bidder was not found executed for which no reason was available on records. No subsequent tender to outsource the asset was found floated thereafter. JTDC has requested the Department to transfer the assets to DTPC. Action of the Department is awaited. As such the assets remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure on these assets. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) that these properties were constructed in remote areas where tourist do not prefer to stay and operation and management of these properties by JTDC is not feasible. JTDC further stated that the Department had been requested to transfer the property to DTPC for making it functional. The fact remains that both the assets were non-operational.
21	Multipurpose Bhawan, Sivpur Ratnerwardham, Godda	2016/ ₹51.78 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tendered in March 2016 - Single bid received. Tender was again invited in December 2016 - two bids were received and the bidders were asked to submit notarised and certified documents. No records of submission of these documents and outcome of the tender were available on record. No tender was floated thereafter and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 51.78 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) that the asset was non-operational and stated that O&M of the asset by JTDC is not feasible. JTDC further stated that the asset is in remote area where tourist do not prefer to stay. JTDC further stated that Department has been requested to transfer the property to DTPC for making it functional.
22	Multipurpose Bhavan, Dhamsa Temple, Godda	2016/ ₹51.78 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tendered in March 2016 - Single bid received. Tender was again invited in December 2016 - two bids were received and the bidders were asked to submit notarised and certified documents. No records of submission of these documents and outcome of the tender were available on record. No tender was floated thereafter and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 51.78 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) that the asset was non-operational and stated that O&M of the asset by JTDC is not feasible. JTDC further stated that the asset is in remote area where tourist do not prefer to stay. JTDC further stated that Department has been requested to transfer the property to DTPC for making it functional.

23	Multipurpose Bhavan, Harihardham, Giridih	2015/ ₹64.26 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender floated in March 2016 -No bids received. Tender was again invited (December 2016) – Two bids received were technically disqualified. TEC again decided to re-tender. No subsequent tender was floated. • The asset remained non-operational and resulted in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 64.26 lakh. 	-do-
24	Community Centre, Tourist Convenience Complex, Phusro, Bokaro	2015/ ₹133.45 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was invited in March 2016 and December 2016 - Single bid received on both occasions. TEC decided to re-tender but no tender was floated thereafter to outsource the asset. • The asset remained non-operational and resulted in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 133.45 lakh. 	-do-
25	Sanskar Bhawan, Amreshwar Dham, Khunti	2013/ ₹51.00 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tendered was floated (March 2016) and LOA was issued (June 2016) but the bidder did not turn up even after reminders (August 2016 and August 2017). • As such the asset remained non-operational as of May 2020 resulting in idle expenditure of ₹ 51 lakh. 	-do-
26	Sanskar Bhawan, Binduvasini Temple, Sahibganj	2015/ ₹44.75 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tendered in March 2016 - Single bid was received. Again tender was invited in December 2016 - two bids were received and the bidders were asked to submit notarised and certified documents. No information regarding submission of these documents and outcome of the tender were available on record. • No tender was floated thereafter and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 44.75 lakh. 	-do-
27	Ganga Bhawan, Rajmahal, Sahibganj	2013/ ₹1.11 crore	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JTDC invited tender to outsource this asset in December 2016, December 2017, December 2018 and February 2019 but no bid was received. • As such the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 110.76 lakh. 	-do-
28	Restaurant cum Hall, Palkot, Gumla	2015/ ₹59.14 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender invited in March 2016 – Out of four bids received, only one bidder was technically qualified. Re-tendered in December 2016 –Single bid received. • TEC decided to re-tender but no tender to outsource the asset was floated thereafter and the asset remained non-operational resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 59.14 lakh 	-do-

29	Multipurpose Bhavan, Budhai Temple, Deoghar	2016/ ₹51.76 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tender was invited in March 2016 and December 2016 - Tender was not found finalised for reasons not available on records. • During joint physical verification (December 2019), Audit observed cracks in the walls, broken window panels, toilets and hand-pump for water outside the MPB were non-operational. The MPB is located in a remote place and no staff were deployed by the JTDC to safeguard the asset. • The place was also not notified as a tourist spot by the State Government. The asset remained non-operational and expenditure of ₹ 51.76 lakh rendered unfruitful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) that the property is located in a remote place where only occasional tourist was expected and further stated that the Department had been requested to transfer the property to DTPC for making it functional. • The fact remains that the property is non-operational.
30	Tourist Information Centre, Hazaribag	2011/ ₹83.05 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TIC was sealed (September 2018) by the SDO, Sadar, Hazaribag due to antisocial activities being carried out in its premises. • Agreement with the developer was terminated (November 2018). Audit noticed during joint physical verification that the asset was non-operational, lacked basic amenities and was poorly maintained. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/ JTDC stated (June 2020) that LOA had been issued (December 2019) to the successful bidder and the developer would develop and furnish the property. • The fact remains that the agreement with the successful bidder was not executed and the property is non-operational.
31	Tourist Information Centre, Deoghar	2014/ ₹49.05 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreement executed (February 2016) with the developer was terminated (May 2018) on the grounds of non-payment of annual license fees. • The developer was to renovate the project facilities as per approved PIP and if the agreement is terminated, project site and project facilities were to be handed over to JTDC. Audit observed that after termination of the agreement, JTDC failed to take over project facilities like water cooler, inverter, sweet display counter, fire extinguisher, batteries, micro waves, laser printers etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC stated (June 2020) that the developer had filed a suit in the High Court for restoration of agreement. • The fact remains that the asset is non-operational since May 2018. JTDC did furnish replies regarding non-handing over of the project facilities by the developer.
32	Tourist Information Centre, Rajmahal, Sahibganj	2015/ ₹49.36 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tendered in March 2016 - Developer was selected (May 2017), but agreement was not executed for reasons not available on records. • The asset remained non-operational asset resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 49.36 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department (July 2020)/JTDC (June 2020) did not furnish specific replies.
33	Tourist Information Centre, Jamshedpur	2011/ ₹81.84 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During joint physical verification (January 2020), Audit observed that asset was located in the court campus without any signboard/logo and was closed. • The asset remained non-operational since its transfer resulting in idle expenditure of ₹ 81.84 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department (July 2020)/JTDC stated (June 2020) that agreement for outsourcing had been executed (January 2020) and the property would be operational after furnishing is carried out.

34	Light & Sound show, Shilp Gram, Deoghar	2015/ ₹305.00 lakh	27.01.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JTDC started the operation (February 2015) of the system which was shut down due to defects (February 2016 and June 2016). The defects were rectified but it was again shut down (July 2016) due to thunder and lightning and has remained idle since then. • The asset remained non-operational asset resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 305 lakh. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted the facts and stated (June 2020) that the Light and sound show would be made operational after rectification of defects. Reply is not convincing. The fact remains that the asset was idle even after almost four year of it being shut down.
35	Children Play Zone, Sitarampur Dam, Saraikela	2015/ ₹24 lakh	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JTDC invited tender (March 2016) and LOA was issued (June 2016) to the successful bidder who did not turn up for execution of the agreement even after reminders (August 2016 and August 2017). • The assets remained non-operational resulting in idle expenditure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department (July 2020)/JTDC accepted (June 2020) the facts and stated that these properties were not commercially viable and Department had been requested to transfer these assets to DTPC.
36	Children Park, Kudarsai, Saraikela	2015/ ₹36.58 lakh	28.10.2015		
37	Children Play Zone, Sidhgoda, East Singhbhum	NA/NA	28.10.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JTDC invited tender December 2016. Bidders were not technically qualified and TEC decided on retendering. No tender was floated thereafter and JTDC failed to make the asset operational. • The asset was shown as non-operational in JTDC's records, but during the joint physical verification, the asset was found being run by a local committee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department stated (July 2020) that the property is under the charge of district administration. • Reply is not convincing as the asset was shown as non-operational in the records of JTDC and was found being run by the local committee during joint physical verification.
38	Tourist Palace (Park), Hatia, Ranchi	NA/ ₹400.00 lakh	25.03.2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per circular of transfer, the asset was to be maintained through outsourcing or PPP mode. However, the asset was being run partially in self-managed mode without permission from the Department. Only 15 to 50 bookings was made and ₹ 53,500 earned during 2015-16 to 2018-19. The cottages were in poor condition, food court was not operational and there was no drinking water supply. • Despite substantial expenditure on the asset, no tender was floated by JTDC to outsource it resulting in unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 4 crore. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC stated (June 2020) that they will take decision on the mode of operation. • Reply is not in order as the asset was to be outsourced as per instructions (2015) of the Department which is still under consideration after more than five years.
39	Tourist Palace, Restaurant and Food Court , Kanke Dam, Ranchi	2012/ ₹430.00 lakh	23.03.2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During joint physical verification (December 2019), Audit observed that the asset was partially functional (only park). • The restaurant, food court and musical fountain were non-functional with the restaurant and food court being in dilapidated condition. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department (July 2020)/JTDC stated (June 2020) that the asset had been outsourced (March 2020) and operation will be started after its development. The facts remains that the asset is only partially operational till date.
Total		3,967.54			

